A Family Doctor's Ethical and Legal Imperatives: Responding to Parental Alienation and Coercive Control in Neurodivergent Children in Ontario

I. Executive Summary

This report addresses the critical role of family physicians in identifying and responding to parental alienation (PA) and coercive control, particularly when children have pre-existing diagnoses of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Parental alienation, a profound form of emotional abuse and family violence recognized under Ontario law, involves one parent manipulating a child against the other, causing significant psychological harm. The unique vulnerabilities of neurodivergent children amplify this harm, as their diagnoses can be weaponized to control narratives and obstruct necessary care.

Family doctors operate under core ethical principles of beneficence, non-maleficence, and the paramount standard of the child's best interests. These principles, coupled with Ontario's legal framework for mandatory child abuse reporting and the recognition of coercive control, create clear obligations for intervention. Paramount alienating behaviors that necessitate a doctor's action include the child's unjustified rejection of a parent, the use of "script-like" denigration, the alienating parent's consistent undermining of the targeted parent, the withholding of crucial medical information or therapies, the misrepresentation of neurodevelopmental diagnoses, and the instrumentalization of the child as a spy or messenger. The cumulative effect of these behaviors, leading to psychological distress in the child, triggers a mandatory reporting duty.

This report outlines ethical and practical pathways for physicians, emphasizing meticulous documentation, strategic communication, and collaborative engagement with child protection authorities, mental health professionals, and legal experts. The family doctor's role extends beyond symptom management to active child advocacy, ensuring that the child's safety and well-being remain the primary focus in these

complex, high-conflict family dynamics.

II. Introduction: The Intersecting Challenges

Defining Parental Alienation (PA) and its profound impact on child well-being

Parental alienation is a deeply troubling phenomenon characterized by one parent's psychological manipulation of a child against the other parent, resulting in a damaged parent-child relationship and severe, long-lasting psychological consequences for the child and the alienated parent.[1] This manipulation is often a deliberate and sustained effort to distance the child, frequently leading to the child displaying unjustified hostility or rejection towards the targeted parent.[1] Common tactics employed by the alienating parent include spreading false information, making derogatory remarks, and instilling fear or hatred towards the targeted parent.[1] It is crucial to differentiate parental alienation from legitimate estrangement, which arises from genuine reasons such as abuse or neglect by the targeted parent.[2]

The psychological repercussions for children subjected to parental alienation are extensive and severe. They may exhibit intense anger, hatred, or fear towards the targeted parent, alongside signs of anxiety, depression, low self-esteem, and significant difficulties in forming healthy relationships.[1] In some cases, children may even develop post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).[1] Behavioral changes are also common, including disobedience, disrespect, refusal to spend time with the targeted parent, or a sudden disinterest in activities they once enjoyed.[1] The manipulation can distort a child's understanding of relationships and lead to difficulties forming secure attachments in adulthood.[2]

The manipulative tactics inherent in parental alienation, such as spreading false information, instilling fear, limiting contact, and undermining a parent's credibility [1], align directly with the established definitions and patterns of coercive control in Ontario.[7] Coercive control is recognized as a pattern of abusive behaviors aimed at dominating a family member, including intimidation, emotional abuse, isolation, and specifically "using the children by trying to turn them against the victim or getting

them to spy on the victim".[7] The legal recognition of coercive control as a significant component of family violence under the revised Divorce Act in Ontario [8] elevates the seriousness of parental alienation. Understanding parental alienation within this broader framework means a family doctor is not merely observing a "high-conflict divorce" but a pattern of abuse with explicit legal implications under family violence legislation. This understanding transforms the doctor's ethical concern into a potential mandatory duty to report.

Understanding ADHD and Autism: Characteristics, co-occurrence, and unique vulnerabilities to manipulation

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by difficulties with attention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity.[9] Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is another neurodevelopmental disorder that impacts social interaction, communication, and behavior, with symptoms varying widely in severity.[9] There is a notable overlap in symptoms between ADHD and ASD, and ADHD frequently co-occurs with autism, with reported rates ranging from 30% to 78%.[9] This overlapping symptomatology can complicate the diagnostic process, often leading to misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis of ASD, as ADHD symptoms may mask emerging ASD features.[9]

Children are particularly susceptible to parental manipulation during periods of emotional or developmental vulnerability.[2] Neurodevelopmental diagnoses like ADHD and autism can be "weaponized" in custody disputes, meaning one parent deliberately misuses or exaggerates a child's diagnosis to gain an advantage in legal proceedings.[11] This can involve discrediting the other parent or suggesting the child is unfit for shared placement.[11] Common weaponization tactics include exaggerating the severity of the condition, minimizing the child's capabilities, portraying the other parent as incompetent in managing the child's needs, blocking access to necessary therapies or supports, and creating unnecessary fear or anxiety about the condition.[11]

The specific mention of a diagnosis being "unilaterally diagnosed by the mother and a doctor" is a critical detail. While a single parent with decision-making responsibility can consent to necessary treatment [12], the unilateral nature of a diagnosis for complex, co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions like ADHD and autism, especially if it excludes or is unknown to the other parent, raises significant concerns. If this unilateral diagnosis is part of a pattern to exclude the other parent from medical

decision-making, control the child's health narrative, or portray the other parent as incompetent, it directly aligns with coercive control tactics such as "undermining credibility" or "controlling access to health services".[7] For a family doctor, this requires a critical evaluation of the diagnostic process itself, ensuring it is comprehensive, objective, and truly in the child's best interest, rather than merely accepting the diagnosis at face value without considering the potential manipulative context.

The family doctor's pivotal role in identifying and addressing child harm within family conflicts

Family doctors operate under fundamental ethical principles, including beneficence (the duty to 'do good') and non-maleficence (the duty to 'not do bad' or allow harm).[14] This extends to promoting the child's holistic well-being—physically, psychologically, emotionally, and socially—and protecting them from harm.[14] In Ontario, legislation mandates physicians to report suspected child abuse, which can be emotional, physical, sexual, or mental, including neglect, to child protection authorities when there are "reasonable grounds to believe or suspect" that a child has been, is being, or is at risk of abuse.[17] The threshold for reporting is suspicion, not definitive proof, and physicians are legally protected from liability for reports made in good faith.[17]

Parental alienation is often "invisible to teachers, social workers, and even family court judges" [3], yet it constitutes a profound form of emotional abuse.[3] Family doctors, due to their ongoing relationship with the child and family, are uniquely positioned to observe subtle signs of distress, behavioral changes, or physical symptoms (e.g., headaches, stomachaches, sleeping problems, anxiety, depression, PTSD) [1] that may indicate underlying parental alienation or coercive control. Their continuous access to the child's health and development allows for the detection of patterns that other professionals might miss. This places the family doctor in a critical sentinel role, requiring vigilance and a comprehensive, holistic view of the child's environment and family dynamics, moving beyond mere symptom management to identifying the root cause of harm, particularly when it stems from abusive family conflicts.

III. Ethical Foundations of Pediatric Care in Ontario

Core Medical Ethics: Beneficence, Non-Maleficence, Autonomy (Child's Developing Autonomy, Parental Decision-Making)

The practice of medicine is guided by fundamental ethical principles that are particularly complex in pediatric care.

- **Beneficence** is the core duty to act in the patient's best interest and 'do good'.[14] In pediatrics, this translates to actively promoting the child's well-being across all domains—physical, psychological, emotional, and social—and safeguarding their future interests.[14]
- **Non-Maleficence** is the duty to 'not do bad' or allow harm to come to the patient.[14] This principle is deeply ingrained in medical tradition, as articulated in the Hippocratic Oath, which emphasizes abstaining from whatever is harmful or mischievous.[15]
- **Autonomy** involves respecting the patient's right to self-determination and their choices about their own lives.[14] In the pediatric context, this principle is nuanced. It requires respecting parents as the primary decision-makers for their children, while simultaneously recognizing and fostering the child's developing capacity for decision-making. Physicians should involve children in health care decisions to a degree commensurate with their abilities and emotional maturity.[14]
- **Justice** is the principle of treating all individuals equally and equitably.[15] This includes ensuring fair distribution of healthcare resources and upholding patients' rights, such as non-discrimination based on age, gender, origin, or physical/mental disability.[16]

While respecting parental autonomy is a cornerstone of pediatric ethics [14], this principle becomes ethically complex when parental actions, such as engaging in parental alienation or coercive control, directly threaten or inflict harm upon the child's well-being.[1] In such scenarios, the "best interests of the child" [14] must take precedence. The doctor's duty to protect the child from harm (non-maleficence) and promote their well-being (beneficence) can ethically and legally override parental autonomy, particularly when a parent's decisions or behaviors fall below the "good enough" standard for the child's care [14] or constitute abuse. This ethical tension mandates the doctor to intervene to safeguard the child.

The "Best Interests of the Child" Standard: Paramountcy in clinical and legal contexts

The term "best interests" in ethics refers to those things essential for a good life, encompassing the principles of beneficence and non-maleficence.[14] It is a maximizing concept, aiming to do whatever will best promote all of the child's interests overall, often requiring a careful balance between competing considerations.[14] For instance, a child may have an interest in being pain-free and living longer, and a physician must navigate how to best achieve both, or compromise if necessary.[14]

In Ontario family law, the "best interests of the child" is the paramount consideration for all decisions regarding parenting time and decision-making responsibility (custody).[11] The Divorce Act specifically outlines that acting in the child's best interests includes "a spouse's willingness to support the development and maintenance of the child's relationship with the other spouse," unless it is not in the child's best interest.[18]

The "best interests of the child" is not a static concept; it requires a nuanced and continuous assessment, particularly when the child has pre-existing neurodevelopmental diagnoses like ADHD and autism. What constitutes "best" for a neurotypical child may differ significantly for a neurodivergent child, whose needs for stability, predictable routines, specialized therapies, and specific communication accommodations are unique and critical for their development.[9] The "weaponization" of these diagnoses [11] directly undermines this standard by distorting the child's true needs. Consequently, the family doctor's ethical obligation is to ensure that interventions and recommendations are genuinely driven by the child's

actual developmental and emotional needs, rather than by a parent's manipulative narrative or misinterpretation of neurodivergent behaviors. This requires a deep understanding of neurodiversity and a commitment to advocating for appropriate, individualized care.

Navigating Unilateral Diagnoses and Conflicting Parental Views on Medical Care

When a child requires medical care, physicians should first seek consent from the child if they are deemed capable, a concept known as "Gillick competence".[12] If the child is incapable of consenting to treatment or disclosure of medical information, consent is typically obtained from parents or legal guardians with decision-making responsibility (custody).[12]

Communication challenges can arise when a physician receives conflicting directions from parents. In such cases, physicians should interact with both parents respectfully, acknowledging their legal rights.[12] To clarify decision-making authority, physicians may request and keep on file copies of any agreements or court orders regarding decision-making responsibility and parenting time.[12] While consent from one parent with decision-making responsibility is generally sufficient for necessary treatment, it is prudent to obtain consent from both parents for treatments that could be perceived as controversial or entail serious risks to the child.[12] If consensus cannot be achieved, the physician should make reasonable attempts to facilitate it in the child's best interests. Failing that, guidance may be sought from hospital ethicists, the Canadian Medical Protective Association (CMPA), the court, or the public guardian.[12]

Making significant medical decisions, such as starting a medication regimen or pursuing an alternative medical treatment, repeatedly without the other parent's consent can be viewed by courts as a failure to co-parent and may lead to legal repercussions, including loss of legal decision-making power.[21] In custody disputes, physicians should exercise caution when providing letters of support or reports based solely on information provided by one parent, avoiding speculation on diagnoses and properly attributing all statements.[12] While medical reports can be admitted as evidence in family court, their admissibility and the weight they are given are subject to judicial review.[22]

The statement that a child's diagnosis was "unilaterally diagnosed by the mother and a doctor" is a critical starting point for a family physician. While a single parent holding decision-making responsibility can consent to necessary treatment [12], the

unilateral nature of a diagnosis for complex, co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions like ADHD and autism, particularly if it excludes or is unknown to the other parent, is a significant warning sign. Information indicates that "significant medical decisions without the other parent's consent... could lead to legal repercussions" and be seen as a "failure to co-parent".[21] Furthermore, diagnoses can be "weaponized" to portray the other parent as incompetent or to control the child's narrative.[11] If this unilateral diagnosis is part of a broader pattern to isolate the child from the other parent, control access to medical information, or undermine the other parent's

involvement in care, it directly aligns with the definition of coercive control.[7] The family doctor's ethical obligation, therefore, extends beyond merely accepting the diagnosis; it requires assessing the context in which the diagnosis was obtained, ensuring it genuinely serves the child's best interests, and being acutely aware of potential manipulative intent behind its unilateral presentation.

Table 1: Core Ethical Principles and Their Application in Pediatric Practice

Ethical Principle	Definition	Application in Pediatrics	Relevance to PA/Coercive Control
Beneficence	The duty to 'do good' and promote the patient's well-being.[14]	Actively promote the child's holistic well-being (physical, psychological, emotional, social) and protect their future interests.[14]	Prioritizing the child's safety and well-being over parental conflict; advocating for necessary care and support despite parental interference.
Non-Maleficence	The duty to 'not do bad' or allow harm to occur to the patient.[14]	Protect the child from all forms of harm, including physical, emotional, and psychological abuse.[14]	Recognizing parental alienation and coercive control as forms of child abuse; fulfilling the mandatory duty to report suspected harm to child protection authorities.[17]
Autonomy (Child)	Respecting the child's developing right to make choices about their own lives.[14]	Involve children in decision-making commensurate with their abilities and emotional maturity.[14]	Recognizing when a child's expressed "choice" to reject a parent is a result of manipulation rather than genuine autonomy; working to restore the child's genuine sense of self and relationships.[6]

Autonomy (Parent)	Respecting parents' right to make decisions for their children.[14]	Parents are typically proxy decision-makers, obligated to act in their child's best interests.[14]	This principle is overridden when parental actions (e.g., alienation, coercive control) cause significant harm or are not in the child's best interests; the doctor's duty shifts to child protection.[14]
Justice	Treating all people equally and equitably; fair distribution of resources.[15]	Ensuring non-discriminatory care based on factors like disability; fair allocation of medical resources.[16]	Advocating for equitable access to appropriate medical and therapeutic services for neurodivergent children, preventing weaponization of diagnoses that could deny or distort care.[11]

IV. Parental Alienation and Coercive Control: A Legal and Psychological Nexus

Parental Alienation: Manifestations by the alienating parent and the child

Parental alienation manifests through a range of deliberate tactics employed by one parent to undermine the child's relationship with the other parent. These behaviors are designed to damage the bond and instill negative perceptions.

- **Alienating Parent Behaviors:**
  - **Badmouthing the targeted parent:** The alienating parent consistently speaks negatively about, or expresses hatred or disdain for, the targeted parent to the child.[3] This includes spreading false information and making derogatory remarks.[1]

    - **Withholding crucial information:** This involves refusing to confide in or consult the targeted parent regarding important medical, academic, or other significant decisions concerning the child.[3] It can also extend to asking the child to keep secrets from the targeted parent.[6]
    - **Controlling language:** The alienating parent may stop referring to the targeted parent as "Mum" or "Dad," instead using their first name, to diminish the child's sense of a close personal bond.[6]
    - **Confiding in the child:** The alienating parent inappropriately shares details of the separation, divorce, or legal proceedings with the child, placing the child in an adult role and burdening them with adult conflicts.[6]
    - **Instilling fear or disaffection:** This involves telling the child that the targeted parent does not love them, does not care for them, is dangerous, or is not safe to be around.[3]
    - **Forcing choices:** The alienating parent pressures the child to choose between the two parents, often by offering more "attractive" activities during the time meant for the targeted parent to sway the child away.[6]
    - **Withdrawal of love:** The alienating parent may withhold their love and affection from the child unless the child outwardly rejects the targeted parent.[6]
    - **Interfering with communication and limiting contact:** This includes interrupting phone or video calls between the child and targeted parent, hanging up early, or ceasing to facilitate communications or visitation entirely.[3]
- **Child Behaviors:** Children subjected to parental alienation often exhibit specific, observable behaviors that serve as indicators of the manipulation they are experiencing.
    - **Unjustified rejection:** The child expresses disapproval, hostility, or outright rejection towards the targeted parent without legitimate reasons. They may cite vague or exaggerated complaints that do not align with their prior positive experiences with that parent.[2]
    - **Lack of guilt or ambivalence:** The child shows little to no remorse or internal conflict about their rejection of the targeted parent. In healthy relationships, even strained ones, children typically feel conflicted about negative feelings toward a parent; in alienation, the rejection is often absolute and unwavering.[2]
    - **Adopting alienating parent's narratives:** The child repeats negative words or criticisms about the targeted parent in a "script-like" or uncharacteristically mature way, adopting the alienating parent's opinions as their own.[6]
    - **Idealized view:** The child perceives the alienating parent as wholly good or perfect, while the targeted parent is seen as wholly bad or imperfect.[6]
    - **Hostility toward extended family:** The child extends their rejection and

hostility to the targeted parent's relatives or even pets.[6]
- **Believing rejection is their own decision:** The child genuinely believes that their rejection of the targeted parent is their own independent choice, often denying any external influence.[6]

Parental Alienation as Emotional Abuse and Family Violence under Ontario Law

In Ontario, parental alienation is recognized by the courts as a serious concern that can negatively impact the parent-child relationship and the child's well-being.[18] Courts have explicitly recognized parental alienation as a form of emotional abuse and family violence due to its severe and long-term negative effects on children.[18]

The Child, Youth and Family Services Act in Ontario defines emotional abuse as occurring when a parent or other person in charge causes emotional harm, or fails to protect a child from harm resulting from verbal abuse, mental abuse, and psychological abuse.[24] This includes behaviors such as putting a child down, constantly criticizing, yelling, threatening harm to the child or others, keeping a child from seeing their family or friends without good reason, or threatening to move a child out of their home.[25] Children who witness or hear family violence between other family members also suffer emotional abuse, even if they are not physically harmed themselves.[25]

The explicit recognition of parental alienation as a form of emotional abuse and family violence in Ontario courts [18] is a critical legal development. This classification shifts parental alienation from being merely a "family dispute" or "parental conflict" into the domain of "child abuse." This reclassification directly triggers the mandatory reporting duties for physicians under the Child, Youth and Family Services Act.[17] The doctor's ethical obligation to protect the child from harm (non-maleficence) is therefore fortified by a clear legal mandate, transforming a discretionary "should" report into a mandatory "must" report when reasonable grounds for suspicion of parental alienation-related emotional abuse are present.

Coercive Control in Ontario: Definition, patterns of abusive behaviors

Coercive control is defined as a pattern of abusive behaviors used to control or dominate a family member or intimate partner.[7] It is a significant component of the broader definition of family violence under the revised Divorce Act in Ontario, mandating courts to consider it when making decisions related to children and parenting.[8]

Patterns of coercive control can involve a range of insidious tactics, often continuing even after separation.[7] These include:

- **Intimidation and threats:** Making threats to harm the victim, themselves (self-harm, suicide), any person under 18 in their care, or other persons known to them.[7]
- **Minimizing and denying the abuse:** The abuser blames the victim for the violence and minimizes their own role.[7]
- **Isolation:** Cutting off the victim from friends, family, work, or school.[7]
- **Emotional abuse:** Constant criticism, degrading verbal abuse, and gaslighting (manipulating reality and instilling a narrative that undermines the victim's truth and experience).[7]
- **Economic abuse and control:** Controlling or monitoring the intimate partner's finances or other property.[7]
- **Stalking and monitoring:** Surveillance of actions, movements, and social interactions, including through telecommunication.[7]
- **Controlling aspects of life:** This can involve controlling or attempting to control matters related to the intimate partner's employment, education, expression of gender, physical appearance, diet, taking of medication, or access to health services.[13]

Coercive control severely limits the victim's freedom and choices, undermining their sense of physical and emotional safety, credibility, and creating conditions of subordination, dependency, or entrapment.[7] It has significant implications for parenting arrangements, often making co-parenting inappropriate and necessitating safety plans or supervised parenting time.[7]

The critical link: How parental alienation tactics often constitute coercive control, particularly when children are instrumentalized

While parental alienation specifically describes the manipulation of a child against a

parent, coercive control provides a broader, more encompassing legal and psychological framework that often underpins and explains parental alienation. The definition of coercive control explicitly includes the abuser "using the children by trying to turn them against the victim or getting them to spy on the victim".[7] This direct overlap means that many alienating tactics are, in fact, manifestations of coercive control. Understanding parental alienation within this broader framework of coercive control, which is recognized as family violence in Ontario [8], reinforces the severity of the situation and its implications for the child's safety and well-being. It indicates that the issue is not merely a "bad divorce" but a pattern of systemic abuse, which has significant implications for parenting arrangements.

The most profound observation at this nexus is the instrumentalization of the child. When parental alienation tactics involve "using the children by trying to turn them against the victim or getting them to spy on the victim" [7], the child is no longer merely a passive recipient of parental conflict but becomes an active tool in the abuser's coercive control strategy. This instrumentalization is a particularly egregious form of harm because it not only severs the child's bond with a parent but also fundamentally distorts the child's sense of reality, trust, and self-identity.[23] For a family doctor, recognizing this instrumentalization elevates the urgency and ethical imperative for intervention, as it signifies a profound violation of the child's autonomy and inherent right to a healthy developmental environment, moving beyond mere "parental conflict" to a clear manifestation of child abuse.

V. The Amplified Vulnerability of Neurodivergent Children

How ADHD and Autism symptoms can be misinterpreted, exaggerated, or weaponized in high-conflict custody disputes

Neurodevelopmental conditions such as autism and ADHD can be deliberately misused or exaggerated by one parent to gain an advantage in custody proceedings.[11] This "weaponization" aims to discredit the other parent or falsely suggest the child is unfit for shared placement.[11] Tactics commonly employed include exaggerating the severity of the child's condition, minimizing their capabilities, portraying the other

parent as incompetent in managing the child's needs, blocking access to necessary therapies or supports, and creating unnecessary fear or anxiety about the child's diagnosis.[11] Judges or custody evaluators who lack familiarity with neurodiversity may misinterpret common neurodivergent behaviors, such as meltdowns, rigidity, or impulsivity, as signs of poor parenting, leading to skewed outcomes that do not serve the child's best interests.[11]

Information indicates that ADHD symptoms can "mask" or "overshadow" emerging ASD features, leading to delayed or misdiagnosis.[9] When this clinical reality is combined with the concept of "weaponizing" diagnoses [11], a critical observation emerges: the deliberate misinterpretation, exaggeration, or manipulation of a child's neurodivergent symptoms by an alienating parent is not merely a misunderstanding, but a calculated tactic of abuse. This weaponization can lead to inappropriate or withheld therapies, further harming the child's development and well-being. For a family doctor, this means that their role extends beyond simply accepting a diagnosis; they must critically evaluate the

narrative surrounding the diagnosis, particularly if it originates from a single, potentially alienating, parent. The doctor's ethical obligation is to ensure that the diagnosis and any subsequent treatment plan are based on objective, comprehensive clinical assessment, free from parental manipulation.

The specific psychological damage inflicted on neurodivergent children by alienation and coercive control

Parental alienation inflicts profound psychological damage on children, including reduced self-esteem, increased anxiety, potential trauma, a disrupted sense of identity, and significant barriers to personal growth.[11] Children subjected to alienation often suffer from depression, anxiety, difficulty trusting themselves and others, guilt, low self-esteem, impulse control issues, and academic challenges.[3] Children from high-conflict divorces, especially those involving parental triangulation (where children are drawn into parental conflicts), are at an increased risk of developing post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) or even PTSD.[4] They may also develop adjustment disorders, anxiety disorders, depressive disorders, or somatic symptom disorders (e.g., sleeping problems, headaches, stomachaches).[5]

Neurodivergent children, by virtue of their condition, already navigate unique

challenges in social interaction, communication, emotional regulation, and executive functioning.[9] When these inherent vulnerabilities are subjected to the psychological manipulation and control inherent in parental alienation and coercive control, the pre-existing difficulties are severely amplified. The abuse can exacerbate existing anxiety, depression, and self-esteem issues, potentially leading to more severe, entrenched, and complex mental health problems.[3] The "disrupted sense of identity" and "barriers to personal growth" [11] are particularly devastating for neurodivergent children who are already striving to understand their place and identity in a predominantly neurotypical world. This compounding effect means the family doctor's intervention is not merely about treating symptoms but about protecting the child's fundamental developmental trajectory and preventing irreversible psychological harm.

Challenges in ensuring appropriate medical care and support when one parent controls access or information

Alienating parents frequently withhold crucial medical, academic, and other important information from the targeted parent.[3] This can severely impede the targeted parent's ability to participate in the child's care and make informed decisions. Furthermore, blocking access to necessary therapies or supports for the child's diagnosed conditions is explicitly identified as a "weaponization tactic" [11] that directly harms the child's development and well-being. When a parent repeatedly makes significant medical decisions (e.g., starting medication regimens, pursuing alternative treatments) without the other parent's consent, it can have serious legal repercussions for the parent making those decisions, including the potential loss of legal decision-making power.[21]

When an alienating parent actively withholds crucial medical information or blocks a child's access to necessary therapies for diagnosed conditions like ADHD and autism [3], this behavior transcends mere parental disagreement. It constitutes a form of medical neglect. While not always explicitly termed "neglect" in all contexts, the

effect of such actions—denying a child essential care for their diagnosed conditions—is a direct form of harm and a violation of the doctor's principle of non-maleficence and the child's best interests.[14] This can be conceptualized as "medical neglect by proxy," where the alienating parent's manipulative agenda (to control or harm the other parent) directly results in the child's medical needs being unmet. Such a situation would unequivocally trigger the family doctor's mandatory

reporting duty for suspected child abuse or neglect under Ontario law.[17]

VI. Triggers for Doctor's Obligation: Paramount Alienating Behaviors

A family doctor's ethical and legal obligation to respond to parental alienation, especially in neurodivergent children, is triggered by specific behaviors that indicate significant harm or risk of harm to the child. These behaviors, particularly when observed as a pattern, are paramount for a doctor to act.

Specific Behaviors Requiring Action

- **Child's persistent, unjustified rejection of a previously loved parent:** This is a hallmark sign of parental alienation. The child exhibits "unjustified hostility or rejection" [1] and may "deny all positive past experiences" with the targeted parent.[6] A key indicator is the child showing "little to no guilt or ambivalence" about their absolute and unwavering rejection.[2] This rejection is not based on legitimate reasons like abuse or neglect by the targeted parent.[2]
- **Child's use of "script-like" or adult-like denigration of the targeted parent:** When a child repeats negative words or criticisms about the alienated parent in a manner that seems rehearsed, uncharacteristically mature, or beyond their developmental stage [18], it strongly suggests direct manipulation or "brainwashing" by the alienating parent.[1] This aligns with the alienating parent "badmouthing" or "confiding in the child".[6]
- **Alienating parent consistently undermining the targeted parent's relationship or authority:** This includes the alienating parent consistently badmouthing the targeted parent [3], telling the child that the targeted parent does not love them or is dangerous [3], forcing the child to choose between parents [6], or withdrawing their own love/affection unless the child outwardly rejects the targeted parent.[6] These are direct and sustained manipulative tactics aimed at damaging the parent-child bond.[1]
- **Alienating parent withholding crucial medical/academic information or blocking access to necessary therapies for the child's ADHD/autism:** This is

explicitly identified as an alienating behavior [3] and a "weaponization tactic".[11] Such actions directly compromise the child's health, development, and access to essential care, potentially constituting medical neglect.

- **Misrepresentation or exaggeration of the child's neurodevelopmental condition to discredit the other parent:** This is a clear "weaponization tactic" where the diagnosis is used as a tool of control or manipulation.[11] It aims to paint the targeted parent as incompetent or justify limiting their involvement based on false or exaggerated assumptions about the child's behavior or needs.[11] This directly undermines the child's true best interests.
- **Evidence of the child being used as a messenger or spy against the targeted parent:** This constitutes "triangulation" [4], a coercive control tactic where the abuser "uses the children by trying to turn them against the victim or getting them to spy on the victim".[7] This places an undue burden on the child, leading to abandonment and attachment problems.[26]
- **Significant psychological distress in the child (e.g., anxiety, depression, PTSD symptoms) directly linked to the parental conflict and alienation:** Children who are victims of parental alienation or exposed to high-conflict divorce often exhibit severe mental health issues, including anxiety, depression, low self-esteem, difficulty forming healthy relationships, and post-traumatic stress symptoms.[1] These are direct and observable harms that trigger a family doctor's ethical obligation to intervene and protect the child.

Mandatory Reporting under Ontario Law

In Ontario, emotional abuse is legally defined as causing emotional harm or failing to protect a child from verbal, mental, and psychological abuse.[24] Parental alienation is explicitly recognized in Ontario courts as a form of emotional abuse and family violence.[18] Physicians have a legal obligation in all provinces and territories to report suspected child abuse (emotional, physical, sexual, or mental, including neglect) to child protection authorities when they have "reasonable grounds to believe or suspect" that a child has been, is being, or is at risk of being abused.[17] It is crucial to note that this duty is based on suspicion or belief, not on requiring actual proof of abuse.[17] Legislation typically protects physicians from legal liability when a report is made in accordance with the law, in good faith, and without malice.[17] This legal protection encourages physicians to fulfill their mandatory reporting duties without fear of reprisal.

While individual alienating behaviors are deeply concerning, the legal and ethical imperative for a family doctor to act is most strongly triggered by a *pattern of conduct* that constitutes coercive control [7] and results in emotional abuse. A single instance of badmouthing, while inappropriate, might not meet the threshold for mandatory reporting. However, a

cumulative pattern of alienating behaviors—especially those that directly cause psychological harm (e.g., severe anxiety, depression, PTSD symptoms), interfere with necessary medical care for a neurodivergent child (e.g., blocking therapies), or instrumentalize the child (e.g., using them as a spy)—would collectively meet the "reasonable grounds to believe or suspect" threshold for emotional abuse under the Child, Youth and Family Services Act.[17] The doctor's ethical obligation is to connect these individual observations into a coherent pattern of abuse that necessitates a report to child protection authorities.

Table 2: Key Alienating Behaviors and Their Connection to Child Harm/Coercive Control

Alienating Behavior (by parent)	Child's Response/Behavioral Indicator	Connection to Coercive Control	Impact on Neurodivergent Child	Ethical/Legal Obligation Trigger
Badmouthing targeted parent; instilling fear/hate [1]	Unjustified/absolute rejection of targeted parent; script-like/adult-like denigration; distorted memories [1]	Emotional abuse; undermining credibility; creating fear/distress [7]	Increased anxiety; disrupted sense of identity; exacerbation of emotional regulation difficulties [3]	Suspected emotional/psychological abuse; violation of child's best interests [18]
Withholding crucial medical/academic info; blocking access to therapies [3]	Lack of appropriate care for diagnosed conditions; academic decline; increased	Controlling access to health services; denying freedom/autonomy [13]	Direct medical neglect by proxy; barriers to personal growth and development; exacerbation of	Suspected child neglect; violation of child's best interests; mandatory reporting duty [17]

	distress related to unmet needs		ADHD/Autism symptoms [11]	
Forcing child to choose; withdrawal of love unless child rejects other parent [6]	Loyalty conflicts; lack of guilt/ambivalence; belief rejection is own decision [2]	Creating conditions of subordination/dependency; emotional abuse [7]	Reduced self-esteem; difficulty forming healthy relationships; potential trauma [3]	Suspected emotional abuse; profound psychological harm [18]
Misrepresenting /exaggerating child's diagnosis to discredit other parent [11]	Child's capabilities minimized; increased anxiety about condition; skewed court outcomes	Undermining credibility; gaslighting; controlling care narrative [7]	Inappropriate or withheld therapies; disrupted sense of identity; barriers to self-advocacy [11]	Violation of child's best interests; potential for medical neglect; manipulation of medical information [14]
Interfering with communication/ contact; limiting contact [3]	Refusal of contact; intense anger/fear towards targeted parent [1]	Isolation; controlling movements/social interactions [7]	Profound psychological harm; difficulty forming healthy relationships; increased anxiety/depression [1]	Suspected emotional abuse; violation of child's right to relationship with both parents [18]
Using child as messenger/spy; confiding in child [6]	Child bears weight of conflict; abandonment/attachment problems; distorted sense of reality [23]	Instrumentalization of child; triangulation; using children to turn them against victim [7]	Increased anxiety/PTSD symptoms; disrupted sense of identity; impaired trust [4]	Suspected emotional abuse; profound violation of child's autonomy and well-being [18]

VII. Doctor's Response: Ethical and Practical Pathways

Prioritizing the child's safety and well-being

The overarching ethical imperative for any physician is to "consider first the well-being of the patient".[16] This means that in cases of parental alienation and coercive control, the child's safety and holistic best interests—physical, psychological, emotional, and social—must be the primary guiding principle for all clinical decisions and interventions.[14]

In situations where parental alienation and coercive control are present, the child's well-being is directly threatened or harmed by the actions of one or both parents. The family doctor's ethical duties of beneficence and non-maleficence [14] to the child take precedence over the principle of parental autonomy when parental actions cause significant harm or are demonstrably not in the child's best interests.[14] This implies that the doctor must be prepared to actively advocate for the child, which may involve challenging a parent's narrative, making mandatory reports to child protection authorities, or recommending interventions that the alienating parent may resist or attempt to undermine. This represents a critical shift from a purely collaborative model of care to a protective and advocacy-oriented role.

Meticulous Documentation: Observations, concerns, and communications

Comprehensive and accurate documentation is paramount in cases involving parental alienation and coercive control. This includes detailed records of observations, expressed concerns, discussions with all family members, and the rationale behind any decisions or actions taken.[17] Documentation should capture specific instances of alienating behaviors, including direct quotes, dates, times, and any observed impact on the child.[2] This also includes records of communications (e.g., emails, text messages) that demonstrate denigration, interference with visitation, or manipulation.[2]

Accurate and thorough documentation is crucial for establishing facts and reasoning, providing objective evidence for child protection authorities or courts, and serving as a defense if a complaint or legal action is later launched against the physician.[17] Given that parental alienation and coercive control often involve subtle, patterned behaviors that can be "invisible" to external observers [3], meticulous documentation by the family doctor is not merely good clinical practice but a critical ethical and legal imperative. It

transforms subjective observations into objective evidence that can be presented to child protection services or family courts.[17] This detailed record-keeping protects the physician from potential legal liability for mandatory reporting [17] and, more importantly, provides a clear, verifiable account of the harm being inflicted on the child, thereby ensuring accountability for the abusive parent and facilitating appropriate legal and therapeutic interventions. This is particularly vital when dealing with "unilaterally diagnosed" conditions, where the doctor's independent and objective documentation can counteract manipulative narratives.

Navigating Parental Communication: Seeking consensus, understanding decision-making authority, and addressing conflicting directions

In situations involving conflicting parental views on a child's care, physicians should make reasonable attempts to obtain consensus on treatment decisions, always prioritizing the child's best interests.[12] To clarify decision-making authority, physicians may request and keep on file copies of any agreements or court orders regarding parenting time and decision-making responsibility.[12]

While transparency with caregivers is generally preferable, it may not always be appropriate to inform the alienating parent in advance of a decision to report suspected abuse. The safety of all involved—including the child, siblings, the targeted parent, the physician, and staff—must be a primary consideration.[17] Discussions with the family should be professional, straightforward, and sensitive, explaining the nature of concerns, the statutory duty to report (emphasizing it is not discretionary), the threshold for reporting (suspicion, not proof), and the goal (child protection, not judgment).[17]

The presence of parental alienation and coercive control fundamentally alters the dynamics of communication for the family doctor. In such a high-conflict environment, the doctor's communication strategy must be highly strategic and nuanced. While ethical principles generally advocate for transparency, the reality of coercive control means that an alienating parent may weaponize information to further manipulate the child or retaliate against the targeted parent or the doctor.[7] Therefore, the doctor must balance the principle of transparency with the paramount need for the child's safety and the effectiveness of intervention. This might necessitate withholding advance notice of a report [17] or limiting discussions to professional interactions with the requesting parent, avoiding speculation.[12] The doctor's communication becomes a

deliberate tool for intervention and protection, rather than solely a means of information exchange.

Consultation and Referral: When to engage hospital ethicists, CMPA, child protection authorities, and specialized mental health/legal professionals

When consensus on a child's treatment cannot be achieved among parents, physicians are encouraged to consult with a hospital ethics committee (if available), the CMPA, the court, or the public guardian or curator for guidance.[12] For concerns about suspected child abuse, physicians should contact the CMPA for advice to navigate the decision-making process, understand appropriate reporting authorities, and minimize risks of complaints or legal action.[17] Mandatory reports must be made promptly to child protection authorities when there are reasonable grounds for suspicion.[17] If there is an imminent risk of serious bodily harm or death to a child, reporting to the police should also be considered.[17]

Referrals to specialized professionals are critical in these complex cases:

- **Mental health professionals:** Child psychologists and family therapists are essential for assessment, counseling, and reunification therapy to address the psychological harm caused by alienation.[1]
- **Educational specialists:** These professionals can support the child's academic needs, which may be impacted by the family conflict and their neurodevelopmental diagnoses.[11]
- **Legal counsel:** Lawyers experienced in neurodiversity and parental alienation are crucial to guide the targeted parent and ensure legal protection for the child.[3]
- **The Office of the Children's Lawyer:** In Ontario, the court may involve this office to provide independent information about a child's needs, wishes, and interests, potentially assigning a lawyer or clinician to represent the child.[20]

The intricate interplay of medical, psychological, ethical, and legal dimensions in cases of parental alienation, especially with neurodivergent children and coercive control, demands a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary approach. No single professional possesses all the necessary expertise to address such complex situations effectively. The family doctor's ethical obligation extends to recognizing the limits of their own domain and actively leveraging a network of specialized experts (legal, psychological, ethical consultants, child protection services).[2] This collaborative

model ensures a holistic assessment of the child's best interests, strengthens the evidence base for intervention, distributes the burden of complex decision-making, and ultimately leads to more effective and sustainable protective measures for the child.

The Reporting Process: What information to disclose, maintaining appropriate confidentiality

Reports of suspected child abuse must generally be made to child protection authorities.[17] When disclosing patient information for a report, physicians are generally not faulted for breaching confidentiality, as the legal duty to report overrides the duty of confidentiality in these circumstances.[17] However, it is crucial to provide

only the relevant information necessary to make a proper report, including the facts and circumstances that give rise to the suspicion of abuse.[17] Physicians should be mindful of potential unconscious biases when determining whether to report, especially concerning children from Indigenous, Black, and racialized communities who are overrepresented in the child welfare system.[17]

Medical ethics strongly emphasizes patient confidentiality as a cornerstone of trust.[15] However, in cases of suspected child abuse, this fundamental duty is explicitly overridden by the mandatory reporting obligation.[17] The ethical challenge for the family doctor lies in navigating this tension: how to fulfill the duty to protect the child while minimizing any unnecessary breach of confidentiality. The directive to "disclose relevant information only" [17] is key. The doctor must carefully select and provide sufficient detail to enable child protection authorities to investigate effectively, but avoid including extraneous or irrelevant personal health information. This requires a careful ethical judgment, ensuring the child's safety is paramount while adhering to the principle of proportionality in disclosure.

VIII. Conclusion and Recommendations

Family doctors occupy a uniquely critical position in safeguarding children from the profound harms of parental alienation and coercive control, particularly when

neurodevelopmental diagnoses like ADHD and autism introduce additional layers of vulnerability. Their ongoing relationship with the child and family makes them essential early detectors of these insidious forms of abuse, which often remain hidden from other professionals.

The family doctor's responsibilities are rooted in the paramount standard of the child's best interests and the ethical duties of beneficence (doing good) and non-maleficence (preventing harm). These ethical obligations are reinforced by clear legal mandates in Ontario, where parental alienation is recognized as a form of emotional abuse and family violence under the Child, Youth and Family Services Act. This legal classification triggers a mandatory reporting duty for physicians when there are reasonable grounds to believe or suspect that a child is being, has been, or is at risk of being abused. Physicians are legally protected from liability for reports made in good faith, encouraging them to fulfill this vital protective role.

To effectively address parental alienation and coercive control in neurodivergent children, the following recommendations are crucial for family physicians:

Recommendations for Proactive Identification

- **Maintain a high index of suspicion:** In all high-conflict family situations, particularly those involving separation or divorce, be vigilant for signs of parental alienation and coercive control. Pay close attention when a child exhibits unexplained hostility towards a previously loved parent or displays "script-like" behaviors that seem uncharacteristic for their age.
- **Scrutinize unilateral diagnoses:** Be particularly cautious when neurodevelopmental diagnoses (ADHD, autism) are presented unilaterally by one parent or appear to be "weaponized" in parental disputes. Critically evaluate the context of such diagnoses to ensure they are based on objective clinical assessment rather than parental manipulation.
- **Regularly assess psychological well-being:** Routinely screen children for signs of anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress symptoms, or loyalty conflicts, as these can be direct indicators of psychological distress stemming from parental alienation and coercive control.

Recommendations for Comprehensive Assessment

- **Gather comprehensive information:** Seek information from all available sources, including both parents where safe and appropriate, and the child (commensurate with their developing autonomy and Gillick competence).
- **Meticulously document all observations:** Maintain detailed and objective records of all observations, expressed concerns, conversations with family members, and any actions taken. This documentation is vital for establishing patterns of abuse, providing objective evidence for child protection authorities or courts, and protecting the physician.
- **Evaluate the narrative surrounding diagnoses:** Beyond accepting a diagnosis, critically assess how a child's neurodevelopmental condition is being discussed or utilized by parents. Identify any attempts to misrepresent, exaggerate, or weaponize the diagnosis to control the child's care or discredit the other parent.

Recommendations for Collaborative Intervention

- **Prioritize child safety and well-being:** Always ensure that the child's safety and holistic best interests are the primary guiding principles for all clinical decisions, even if this conflicts with parental wishes or demands. The doctor's role may shift to active child advocacy.
- **Consult with experts:** Do not hesitate to consult with hospital ethicists, the Canadian Medical Protective Association (CMPA), and specialized legal counsel when navigating complex ethical dilemmas or legal ambiguities. These resources can provide invaluable guidance and support.
- **Fulfill mandatory reporting duties:** Make prompt mandatory reports to child protection authorities when "reasonable grounds to believe or suspect" child abuse (including emotional/psychological harm stemming from parental alienation and coercive control) are met. Leverage the legal protections afforded to good-faith reporters. Consider reporting to the police if there is an imminent risk of serious bodily harm or death.
- **Facilitate specialized referrals:** Ensure timely referrals to specialized mental health professionals (e.g., child psychologists, family therapists) for assessment, counseling, and reunification therapy. Also, facilitate referrals to educational specialists and legal experts experienced in parental alienation and neurodiversity to ensure comprehensive support for the child and the targeted parent.

- **Advocate for appropriate care:** Actively advocate for interventions that genuinely promote the neurodivergent child's unique developmental and emotional needs, ensuring access to appropriate therapies and supports, even in the face of parental interference or attempts to block care.

By adhering to these ethical and practical guidelines, family doctors can play an indispensable role in identifying, documenting, and responding to parental alienation and coercive control, thereby safeguarding the well-being and developmental trajectory of vulnerable neurodivergent children in Ontario.

Works cited

1. Parental Alienation: Signs, Consequences & Legal Remedies - Guest and Gray Law Firm, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.guestandgray.com/parental-alienation-signs-consequences-and-legal-remedies/
2. Understanding Parental Alienation: Causes, Consequences, and Solutions, accessed July 8, 2025, https://reelfathersrights.com/understanding-parental-alienation-causes-consequences-and-solutions/
3. Parental Alienation Harms ADHD Families and Children - ADDitude, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.additudemag.com/parental-alienation-adhd-families/
4. Parental Conflicts and Posttraumatic Stress of Children in High-Conflict Divorce Families, accessed July 8, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9360253/
5. Children of High-Conflict Divorce Face Many Challenges - Psychiatric Times, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.psychiatrictimes.com/view/children-high-conflict-divorce-face-many-challenges
6. Recognise the 17 Signs of Parental Alienation - Doolan Wagner Family Lawyers, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.familylawyersdw.com.au/how-to-recognise-the-17-signs-of-parental-alienation/
7. Coercive control as a form of family violence1 - Department of ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/rp-pr/jr/rib-reb/mpafvc-capcvf/pdf/RSD_2023_MakingAppropriatebrochure-eng.pdf
8. UNVEILING COERCIVE CONTROL: SIGNS AND HOW TO GET OUT - Separation Pathways, accessed July 8, 2025, https://separationpathways.ca/unveiling-coercive-control-signs-and-how-to-get-out/
9. Can Autism and ADHD Overlap? - Discovery ABA, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.discoveryaba.com/aba-therapy/can-autism-be-mistaken-for-adhd
10. Delayed autism spectrum disorder recognition in children and adolescents

previously diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, accessed July 8, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6512047/
11. How ADHD and Autism Are Used as Weapons in Custody Battles, accessed July 8, 2025, https://temeculadivorce.com/weaponizing-autism-adhd-in-custody-battles/
12. Family disputes and the physician: Staying focused on safe care - CMPA, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.cmpa-acpm.ca/en/advice-publications/browse-articles/2016/family-disputes-and-the-physician-staying-focused-on-safe-care
13. Private Member's Bill C-332 (44-1) - Third Reading - An Act to amend the Criminal Code (coercive control of intimate partner) - Parlement du Canada, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.parl.ca/documentviewer/en/44-1/bill/C-332/third-reading
14. More information on ethics and end-of-life decision-making, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.rch.org.au/uploadedFiles/Main/Content/genmed/WebPageEthicsNotes.pdf
15. The four principles of medical ethics, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.medicalprotection.org/uk/articles/essential-learning-law-and-ethics
16. CMA Code of Ethics, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.cfpc.ca/CFPC/media/Resources/Education/CMA-Code-of-Ethics.pdf
17. Protecting children — Reporting child abuse - CMPA, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.cmpa-acpm.ca/en/advice-publications/browse-articles/2012/protecting-children-reporting-child-abuse
18. Understanding Parental Alienation in Ontario - Gelman & Associates, accessed July 8, 2025, https://lisagelman.com/blog/understanding-parental-alienation-in-ontario/
19. Confidential Health Care for Minors - Code of Medical Ethics, accessed July 8, 2025, https://code-medical-ethics.ama-assn.org/ethics-opinions/confidential-health-care-minors
20. Representing Yourself at Your Family Law Trial in the Ontario Court of Justice, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.ontariocourts.ca/ocj/files/guides/guide-family.pdf
21. Co-Parenting and Medical Decisions for Legal Implications - Modern Law, accessed July 8, 2025, https://mymodernlaw.com/can-a-parent-lose-custody-for-making-medical-decisions-without-the-other-parents-consent/
22. Can a Doctor's Report Be Used as Evidence in Family Court? - NULaw, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.northtorontolawyers.ca/can-a-doctors-report-be-admitted-as-evidence-in-a-family-court-case/
23. Traumatic Attachment and Parental Alienation - Psychology Today, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/domestic-intelligence/202401/trauma

tic-attachment-and-parental-alienation
24. www.legalline.ca, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.legalline.ca/legal-answers/what-is-considered-emotional-abuse/#:~:text=In%20Ontario%2C%20the%20Child%2C%20Youth,mental%20abuse%20and%20psychological%20abuse.
25. Child Abuse is Wrong: What Can I Do? - Emotional abuse - Department of Justice Canada, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/rp-pr/cj-jp/fv-vf/caw-mei/p6.html
26. Parental Alienation and a Narcissistic Parent | CPTSDfoundation.org, accessed July 8, 2025, https://cptsdfoundation.org/2024/02/12/parental-alienation-and-a-narcissistic-parent/
27. Parental Alienation: Recognizing and Combating This Issue in Ontario Courts, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.separation.ca/blog/2025/february/parental-alienation-recognizing-and-combating-th/